A Quick Guide to Tympanometry

This is a quick guide to understanding and reporting on tympanometry measurements. This guide should be used in conjunction with your own clinics protocols and current research in the area of acoustic immittance testing.

Some Useful Terminology

Ear Canal Volume
“The equivalent ear canal volume (ECV) is an estimate of the volume of air medial to the probe, which includes the volume between the probe tip and the tympanic membrane if the tympanic membrane is intact, or the volume of the ear canal and the middle ear space if the tympanic membrane is perforated” (Fowler & Shanks, 2002, p. 180).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ear Canal Volume Averages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults: 0.6cc to 1.5cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 3 – 5yrs: 0.4cc to 1.0cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear canal volume with an ECV &gt;2.0 with a type B tympanogram in children suggests a perforated TM or patent grommet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very small ECV with a type B tympanogram suggested impacted wax or middle ear pathology (glue ear?).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tympanometric Peak Pressure/Middle Ear Pressure
Tympanometric peak pressure (TTP) or middle ear pressure (MEP) is the ear canal pressure at which the peak of the tympanogram occurs (Margolis & Hunter, 2000).

Static Compliance
Static compliance (SC) “is the greatest amount of acoustic energy absorbed by the middle ear system (the vertical peak of the tympanic tracing)” (Onusko, 2004, p. 1716).

Gradient
“Tympanogram gradient is an objective measure that describes the steepness of the slope of the tympanogram near the peak” (Fowler & Shanks, 2002, p. 182). The gradient is not commonly used in Australia to analyse tympanograms.
Tympanogram Types (as proposed by Jerger)

The blue shaded area in the figures below shows the range for normal middle ear function.

Type A

- sharp peak
- MEP between +50 to -99 mmH₂O
- SC = 0.3 to 1.6cc (adults)/0.2 to 0.9cc (children 3 – 5 yrs)
- ECV within normal range

Figure 1. Jerger classification of Type A tympanogram suggesting normal middle ear function (Jerger, 1970, cited by Srireddy, Ryan, & Niparko, 2002, p.69).

Type B

- Rounded line/no peak
- Usually no measureable/reduced MEP or SC
- ECV within normal range

Figure 2. Jerger classification of Type B tympanogram suggesting abnormal middle ear function (Jerger, 1970, cited by Srireddy, Ryan, & Niparko, 2002, p.69).
Type C

- sharp peak
- MEP less than -99mm (significant negative MEP)
- SC = 0.3 to 1.6cc (adults)/0.2 to 0.9cc (children 3 – 5 yrs)
- ECV within normal range

Figure 3. Jerger classification of Type C tympanogram suggesting abnormal middle ear function/Eustachian tube dysfunction (Jerger, 1970, cited by Srireddy, Ryan, & Niparko, 2002, p.69).

Type As

- sharp peak
- normal MEP
- SC < 0.3cc (adults) (hypomobile)
- ECV within normal range

Figure 4. Jerger classification of Type As tympanogram suggesting reduced static compliance (Jerger, 1970, cited by Srireddy, Ryan, & Niparko, 2002, p.69).
Type Ad

- sharp peak
- normal MEP
- SC > 1.6cc (adults) (hypermobile)
- ECV within normal range

Figure 5. Jerger classification of Type Ad tympanogram suggesting increased static compliance (Jerger, 1970, cited by Srireddy, Ryan, & Niparko, 2002, p.69).

Tympanic Membrane (TM) Perforation

- Rounded/flat line (no peak)
- Usually no measureable/reduced MEP or SC
- Large ECV (as measurements takes into consideration middle ear cavity)


N.B. Classification of tympanogram types differ between many clinics and audiologists. This is a guide only and should be used in conjunction with your own research and clinical protocols.

Reasons for repeating Tympanometry

- Client swallows, talks, laughs, coughs etc during the test.
- You get an odd result that doesn’t look correct or doesn’t match audiogram findings. When in doubt, repeat the test to check your results are repeatable.
**Reporting**

*These are some common sentences used to report on tympanometry results.*

*N.B. Tympanometry results should be looked at in conjunction with other audiological results in the test battery (audiometry, speech audiometry etc) when giving recommendations/impressions in reporting.*

**Type A**
Tymanometry showed normal middle ear pressure and static compliance.

**Type B**
Tymanometry showed no measureable middle ear pressure or static compliance, consistent with middle ear pathology.

**Type C**
Tymanometry showed significant negative middle ear pressure in the presence of normal static compliance, consistent with Eustachian tube dysfunction/middle ear pathology.

**Type As**
Tymanometry showed normal middle ear pressure with decreased static compliance, consistent with a hypomobile tympanic membrane.

**Type Ad**
Tymanometry showed normal middle ear pressure with increased static compliance, consistent with a hypermobile tympanic membrane.

**Large ECV**
Tymanometry results showed a large ear canal volume, consistent with a tympanic membrane perforation or patent grommet.

**Other Useful Resources**


http://www.audiologyonline.com/articles/article_detail.asp?article_id=393

http://www.audiologyonline.com/askexpert/display_question.asp?wc=1&question_id=475
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